



Leigh-on-Sea Town Council

67 Elm Road, Leigh-on-Sea, Essex SS9 1SP - Tel: 01702 716288
council@leighonseatowncouncil.gov.uk www.leighonseatowncouncil.gov.uk

Chairman: Cllr Pat Holden
Vice Chairman: Cllr Carole Mulroney
Town Clerk: Paul Beckerson



MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF THE PLANNING COMMITTEE HELD ON MONDAY 26th SEPTEMBER 2011 AT THE COUNCIL OFFICES, 67 ELM ROAD, LEIGH-ON-SEA

Present: Cllrs: Pat Holden (Chairman), Margaret Cotgrove, Alex Coulson, Donald Fraser, Richard Herbert, Paul Lawrence and Cliff Passmore

In Attendance: Paul Beckerson (Town Clerk)

The meeting opened at 8.00pm

45. OPENING REMARKS

A discussion took place about how the Town Council should address the serious concerns of residents and Councillors about the uncertain future of the Civic Site (Elm Road). It was agreed that this should be progressed through F&GPC and Council.

46. APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE

Cllrs Mike Dolby and Carole Mulroney

46. DECLARATIONS OF MEMBERS' INTERESTS

There were none

47. MINUTES OF THE PREVIOUS MEETING

The minutes of Planning Meeting held on 13th September 2011 were agreed and signed as a correct record by the Chairman.

48. PLANNING APPLICATIONS

a) LOS/11/0210 SOS/11/01291/FULH

42 Walker Drive, Leigh-on-Sea, SS9 3QR (Highlands Ward)

Erect first floor and single storey rear extension

Oppose – It is considered that this is overdevelopment of the site as the resultant footprint would be almost twice that of the house as built and the resultant property would be disproportionately large compared with its adjoining semi. The proposed rear roof profile is not sympathetic to the existing roof line.

b) LOS/11/0211 SOS/11/01294/FULH

17 Carlton Drive, Leigh-on-Sea, SS9 1DE (Leigh Road Ward)

Alterations to pitched roof at rear (retrospective)

No Objection – Subject to the provision of a permanent obscured glass screen around the balcony.

c) LOS/11/0212 SOS/11/01295/FULH

17 Carlton Drive, Leigh-on-Sea, SS9 1DE (Leigh Road Ward)

Alter existing dormer roof at front (retrospective)

No Objection

d) LOS/11/0213 SOS/11/01125/FUL

1111, London Road, Leigh-on-Sea, SS9 3JL (St James Ward)

Erect first floor extension at rear

No Objection

- e) LOS/11/0214 SOS/11/01273/FUL
13, Elmsleigh Drive, Leigh-on-Sea, SS9 3BW (Bonchurch Ward)
Erect first floor extension to rear (amended proposal)
No Objection
- 49. LOCAL PLANNING REGULATIONS – CONSULTATION
To respond as per attached appendix 1
- 50. NATIONAL PLANNING POLICY FRAMEWORK – CONSULTATION
Deferred until next meeting

The meeting closed at 9.20pm

Local Planning Regulations

Consultation questions

We are seeking your views on the following questions on the Government's proposal for new local planning regulations.¹ **If possible, we would be grateful if you could respond by email.** Alternatively, we would be happy to receive responses by post.

Email responses to: lpregsconsultation@communities.gsi.gov.uk

Written responses to:

Martin Bridgman
Communities and Local Government
Zone 1/J1
Eland House
Bressenden Place
London
SW1E 5DU

(a) About you

(i) Your details

Name:	Paul Beckerson
Position:	Town Clerk
Name of organisation (if applicable):	Leigh-on-Sea Town Council
Address:	67 Elm Road, Leigh-on-Sea, SS9 1SP
Email Address:	Council@leighonseatowncouncil.gov.uk
Telephone number:	01702 716288

(ii) Are the views expressed on this consultation an official response from the organisation you represent or your own personal views?

Organisational response

Personal views

(iii) Are your views expressed on this consultation in connection with your membership or support of any group? If yes please state name of group.

¹

Yes
No

Name of group:

(iv) Please tick the *one* box which best describes you or your organisation:

- Private developer or house builder
Housing association or RSL
Land owner
Voluntary sector or charitable organisation
Business, consultant, professional advisor
National representative body
Professional body
Parish council
Local government (i.e. district, borough, county, unitary, etc.)
Other public body (please state)

Other (please state)

(v) Would you be happy for us to contact you again in relation to this consultation?

Yes
No

(b) Consultation questions

1(a) - Do you agree that the revised regulations effectively reflect the changes proposed in the Localism Bill?

- | | |
|---------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Strongly agree | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Agree | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Neither agree or Disagree | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Disagree | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Strongly Disagree | <input type="checkbox"/> |

1(b) If you have any comments please enter these below

Paragraph 19 (2) is weaker than the previous Paragraph 25 in the 2004 Regulations. The line in Paragraph 25 (2) (a) (ii) should be Inserted in as 19 (2) (c).

Each relevant authority any part of whose area is in or adjoins the area of the local planning authority.

2(a) Do you agree with the list of bodies included in the duty to cooperate?

- | | |
|---------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Agree | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Neither agree or Disagree | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Disagree | <input type="checkbox"/> |

2(b) If you have any comments please enter these below

3(a) Do you agree the revised regulations effectively consolidate the 2004 regulations with the revisions in 2008 and 2009?

- | | |
|---------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Strongly agree | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Agree | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Neither agree or Disagree | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Disagree | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Strongly Disagree | <input type="checkbox"/> |

3(b) If you have any comments please enter these below

4(a) Are there any ways in which the regulations should be changed in order to improve the process of preparing local plans, within the powers set out in the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004 and the Localism Bill?

Yes
No

4(b) If 'Yes', please specify below.

As in B (1) (b) above, It is important that Town and Parish Councils are consulted as of right and not by choice.